Results of the Continuum of Care Reallocation Funds Competition and Notification of Placement in the 2016 Project Priority Listing

The Continuum used the Rating system described in the RFP for Reallocation Funds in the process of awarding scores and ranking projects as follows:

								8/22/2016								
					2016 M	cKinnev	-Vento R	Rating and Ranking for REA			ALLOCA	TION Pro	oiects			
Criteria	Possible	Whatcom	Opportuni									74 Thurston Family Support Network				394
	Points	1	2	3	4	TOTAL	1	2	3	4	TOTAL	1	2	3	4	TOTAL
1. Priorities Highest Needs	20	15	19	19	20		13	17	17	20		18	18	20	20	
2. Housing First	20	18	18	20	20		15	16	20	20		20	18	20	20	
3. Mainstream Services	20	12	16	20	16		18	16	20	20		20	16	20	16	
5. Project Readiness	10	8	9	10	10		8	8	10	10		10	9	10	8	
6. Capacity	10	10	9	10	10		10	9	10	10		10	9	10	10	
7. Soundeness of Approach	20	20	19	19	20		15	18	19	20		20	16	19	20	
8. HUD Policy Priorities	5	5	5	5	4		2	5	4	4		4	5	5	3	
Subtotal	105	88	95	103	100	96.5	81	89	100	104	93.5	102	91	104	97	98.5
Cost Effectiveness	5					3					5					1
Unfunded County	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0
Leverage Letters	5					3					5					5
TOTAL POINTS	120					102.5					103.5	i				104.5
Cost Effectiveness		\$140.868 p	rant divide	ed by 16 ho	useholds =	-\$8.804/hs	\$23,100 gr	ant divided	d by 7 hous	seholds = \$	3.300/hsh	\$58.810 gr	ant divided	d by 3 hous	eholds = \$	19.603/hs ¹
Leverage to Grant Ratio												\$58,810 grant divided by 3 households = \$19,603/hsh Leverage divided by grant = Ratio > 3.0 = 5				

^{*}As indicated in the invitation to submit Preliminary Applications for use of Reallocation Funds, the Rating and Ranking Committee would consider, in determining the most appropriate placement of the additional \$19,152 in reallocation funds available, both the scores of the projects and the impact of the additional funds on the needs of the original reallocation project. The Committee determined that the best use of the funds would be to place them with the small Clallam Co. project to improve its feasibility and potential improvement the outcomes for services and housing in the local County Continuum.

Consistent with the provisions of the RFP for Reallocation Funds, the projects will be placed in rank order at the bottom of Tier 1 of the Continuum of Care Project Priority List that will be sent to HUD in Washington, D.C. with the Balance of State Continuum's final application. You should be aware that, although projects ranked in Tier 1 are considered by HUD to be in a safe position in terms of the national competition, all projects submitted by the Continuum are subject to HUD decisions in the competition.